Deliverability review S-08 - rejected architectural change

Document: aos-deliverability-review-s08-disposition-2026-10-29 · Source revision or record: S-08 disposition, 29 October 2026

AOS Specifications v1.0 · Edition dated 3 November 2026

Original architecture and specifications: Mark Sweeny, Governance Intelligence. © 2026 Mark Sweeny.

AOS-authored material is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Preserve third-party credits. Referenced external works remain subject to their own terms.

Canonical collection: https://www.governanceintelligence.co.uk/aos

Publication copy with editorial notices, public references and disclosure edits where applicable.

29 October 2026 · Decision authority: Mark Sweeny. Recorded by Codex.

Mark rejected the proposed architectural prescription for evaluating Governance Intelligence. His clarification is that review of this question is the responsibility of the AI Governance and Ethics Committee in the overall architecture; suggested evaluation methods concern how it might exercise that oversight.

Disposition

S-08: closed as rejected/reclassified to existing governance procedure. The earlier review conflated a possible oversight method with a missing architectural obligation. It does not establish that the committee lacks the responsibility or that new GI requirements are needed. Candidate evaluation methods may be considered through the committee’s existing remit and legitimate powers; they are not mandated by this review.

No new DEC number, technical requirement, test, profile rule, committee power, permission or architecture version is introduced. DEC-099-105 remain as previously approved; no other question is reopened or resolved by this disposition. S-09 remains for final triage. No running-system work or test execution occurred.

Evidence and records

Mark’s rejection in this chat is the controlling disposition. The current source suite already routes oversight and review through authorised Committee/human arrangements (AOS-20 CON-006 and AOS-30 CON-007); this record does not rewrite those allocations. Live to-do and inventory status are updated; the original review is retained with a subsequent-disposition annotation. Original/status-file copies and hashes are retained locally in AOS/deliverability-review-2026-10-29/disposition-S08.