DEC-104 - protected reporting and implicated recipients

Document: aos-dec-104-protected-reporting-and-implicated-recipients-2026-10-29 · Source revision or record: DEC-104, 29 October 2026

AOS Specifications v1.0 · Edition dated 3 November 2026

Original architecture and specifications: Mark Sweeny, Governance Intelligence. © 2026 Mark Sweeny.

AOS-authored material is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Preserve third-party credits. Referenced external works remain subject to their own terms.

Canonical collection: https://www.governanceintelligence.co.uk/aos

Publication copy with editorial notices, public references and disclosure edits where applicable.

29 October 2026 · Mark approved question 6, requested capacity confirmation before action and then explicitly instructed “apply that update”. Author: Codex. Approved architectural direction; detailed amendments retain normal separate review/readiness gates.

Approved rule

Each mandatory protected-finding category shall have an approved reporting arrangement assessed against both technical failure and interference by implicated people. The profile shall identify the intended recipient, possible conflicts and an appropriately independent authorised alternative; an implicated person shall not be the sole gatekeeper deciding whether the required finding reaches its authorised audience.

Authority/use permissions, minimum report content, recipient verification, custody, route/change dependencies, tracking ownership, acceptance, cover and escalation are established in advance. An external route is included where justified and lawfully authorised; external reporting is not a universal default and a finding creates no extra disclosure power. Planned exercises address failed infrastructure, unavailable/implicated recipients, apparent alternatives controlled by the same implicated gatekeeper and attempted suppression. Missing adequate arrangements remain an explicit owned gap, prevent claims of operational protected-reporting assurance and withhold affected dependent reliance under existing gates; they do not create false delivery, ownership transfer or blanket shutdown of separately authorised service. Original tracking duty persists until actual scoped acceptance.

The organisation’s profile binds each required finding class to normal/alternate authorised audiences, conflict criteria/evidence and accountable assessment, disclosure basis/minimal payload, independently verifiable recipients/permissions, credentials/custody and route/reconfiguration controls, failure/compromise assumptions, tracking/cover/escalation, human fallback, evidence and review triggers. Test both infrastructure/common-dependency independence and organisational release/recipient/custody control; neither implies the other. No administrator, executive sign-off or report-validation step may silently remove a required duty. The declared threat/governance scope and residual limitations must be visible: this is not an unlimited guarantee against every holder of legal/constitutional change powers. Inadequacy returns to an empowered accountable reviewer; the reporting agent cannot invent a recipient or grant itself onward-disclosure authority.

Controlled allocation

DEC-104 → Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.2 class profile/protocol and KPV-01; kernel AOS-01 REQ-058 / VER-035, 02

REQ-061 / VER-039, 03 REQ-056 / VER-040, 04 REQ-060 / VER-045, 05 REQ-064 / VER-053. GI20 REQ-030 / VER-013, Oversight25 REQ-036 / VER-023 and Hub30 REQ-025 / VER-015 explicitly preserve the alternate route without an implicated sole gatekeeper. AOS-40 REQ-022 / VER-021 and existing V01/V02 variants assess included class profiles; original GI-specific variants and all existing IDs/counts retained. KX-19 protected_finding v0.3 replaces v0.2 for the revised route-assessment meaning; recovery and other view revisions are unchanged. No case executed.

AOS-00/Map v0.54. Current revised document versions are listed in the current inventory; the local manifest fixes exact saved content. Original requirements and case identities/counts retained, wording and planned evidence expanded. The direction does not appoint recipients, create lawful disclosure authority, certify institutional independence or authorise implementation/deployment. S-07-09 remain undecided. DEC-099-103 retained.

Verification/provenance

Originals, candidates, exact diffs, hash manifest and scoped checks retained in the local AOS/deliverability-review-2026-10-29/decision-104 folder. Checks confirm only the named normative rows changed, stable IDs/counts, exact protected subview revision with other view revisions retained, class/profile/alternate/suppression and inadequate-route fixtures, new relative destinations and saved contents. No AOS test case has been executed; documentary checks provide no independent operational assurance.